G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1895-96
191 .
(Miscl)

File No. 30

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month —

SUBJECT.

Passing orders regarding the Shifting of the Chambulingeswaraswami temple in the Mankalale village, Sagar Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

12-10-95

Gen. 30]

300

1895-96.

From

The Revenue Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore

To

The Superintendent
Muzrai Departme
Mysore

Dated 8th October 1895

Despatched 11

Received 12/10

General No. 35-02
No. R 1383.

Enclosure No. 3 Hobli of U.B.

In forwarding
for information &
obliging return docs
No. 282-237 dated 9th
September 1895 with
its enclosures from t
Deputy Commissio
Shimoga District re
re grant of 1 acre an
14 guntas of land
out of Survey No. 45
in the Mankalale
village, Sagar Talu
for

(General Serial No. 30)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL No 2109
1895 Q 1503
13 SEP 95
CEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

Revenue
The Chief Secretary to the Durbar Govt
of Mysore.

Dated 9th September 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 Envelope

General No. 1592 —
No. — —

Requests that sanction of Government may be accorded to the alienation of one acre and 16 guntas of land out of Survey No 6. in the occupancy of one Narrappa for the purpose of erecting the Shambhu Lingeshwara Temple in the Mankatale village, Sagar Taluk

Submitted

Some of the devotees appear to be opposed to building a new temple.

A Tasdik of Rs 24 is paid annually to the temple.

May the opinion of the Revenue Secretary be obtained as to whether the proposal to build the temple newly on the land in question is sanctioned in the Municipal Depth. ?

12
7/10/95

Please see Govt Officer Sagar's No. 111 of 26/9/95

R
Asst
17/9/95

Submitted

1895

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 9th September 1895

No 282/237 Ex. 2 Temporary

In forwarding for information copies of the marginally noted communications from the Sub Divisional Officer, Sagar, together with the alienation Statement and Vernacular records connected with the case I have the honor to request that Sanction of Government may be accorded to the alienation of one acre and 11 guntas of land out of Survey No 65 in occupancy of one Narrappa referred to therein for the purpose of erecting the Shambhulingeshwara Temple in Mankalale village, Sagar Taluk

From

The Sub Division Officer

Sagar.

To The Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

Dated 26th July 1895

No. 41

Sir,

I have the honor to state th
there is a dilapidated temple of
Shambhulingeshwara at Ikankar
village of Sagar Taluk. It is now
standing in Gomal land No. 93 wh
is now overgrown with thick jungle. T
villagers and the devotees attache
to the temple have evinced great
interest in exerting to build a sub
stantial temple in a new site

in Survey No 45 in lieu of the dilapi-
dated one. They have already raised
a subscription of Rupees 1500/-, of
which they have spent Rupees 800/-
in laying out the foundation. The
estimated amount of the whole work
comes to Rupees 6000/-. Survey No 45
measuring 18 acres and 33 guntas
assessed at Rupees 4-8- is now
in the occupancy of one Namappa
who has no objection to make
over 1 acre- and 14 guntas out of it
for the purpose of building the temple
Mr. Abdul Rahman K. Bapti in-
specting the site has approved of
it. Vide his Yadi No 120
14th March 1893 to the Se
Officer. The Amildar also recommen

alienation of the same. Under the circumstances, I herewith submit an alienation statement and request you will be good enough to obtain sanction of the Government to the same.

The Vernacular records are enclosed.

I have &c.

Raghunatha Rao
Sub Division Officer
Sagar.

1895

From

The Sub Division Officer
Sagar.

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated 4th September 1895

No 76 Encl 1 & Vernacular papers

His No 541 dated 28th Ultimo
regarding the alienation of land
containing Shambhulingeshwara
temple in the village of Mankalale
Sagar Taluk.

In resubmitting the alienation
statement has the honor to refer the
Deputy Commissioner to this Office
No 41 dated 26th July last in which
it is stated that the Khatedar of
Varanje has no objection to
the plot. He does not require
any compensation for it.

The Vernacular records are also
enclosed.

Sd/ Raghunatha Rao
Sub Division Officer
Sagar

"Copies"

Asst Commissioner

Statement shewing State lands proposed to be alienated

Shimoga

District	Taluk	Hobli or Nagani	Village, Estate or Township.	As per village accounts.								
				Survey Number	Extent.			Assessment			Acquired or waste as per village Form No. I, Selections Patrika.	In what Survey Number.
1	2	3	4	5	6			7			8	9
					Ac:	G.	gts.	Rs.	as:	Ps.		
Shimoga	Sagar	Ikkeri	Ammakalli	45	18	33	"	4	8	"	Acquired. Khalsa Sayuvashta	45

Sub Division Office,
Sagar, Dated 16th August 1895.

assumed.
in the Settled Talukas.

| Particulars of grant. | | |

General Serial No 30

MUZARAI.

1895

From The Muzrai Supdt.
~~The Secretary to the~~
in ~~Govt of~~ Mysr.

To The Revl. Secretary to
Govt. of Mysor

Dated 25 October /95

Despatched 28

Received /

Enclosures No.

526 D

General No
Muzrai F No

His Docket No. 3502
R. 1383

the 8th Instant enquiring whether it has been seen by the Muzrai Deptt. that Shanbhulingeswara at Mankalale in the Sagar Taluk should be shifted from its present position and rebuilt Survey No. 45 in the same village.

—"—

With reference to the above has the honour to state that the Dy Comm.

Comm'r, Shimoga Dist'
has been called upon to
report fully & sanction
of Govt in the Manjrai
Def't was not obtained
to shift the temple fro
its present position an
rebuild it on the site
now in question and the
on receipt of reply fro
him the information
called for will be furn

A1

Manjrai Gr
C. V

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895—

MUZARAL.

From

To The Dy Commr
Shimoga Dist.

Dated 25th Octr. /95

Despatched 28

Received

Enclosures No. 34 / file & VP

General No. 525 B

Muzarai F. No.

His No. 282 of the 9th
ultimo & No. 237 Rev. Serving
ultimo soliciting sanc-
tion to the alienation of
one acre and 14 guntas
of land out of Survey No. 4
for the purpose of erecting
the Sharabhulingeswara
temple in the Mankalala
village, Sagar Taluk.

With reference to the
above has the honor to
enquire why sanction
of Govt. in the "usual

Deptt was not obtained
to build the aforesaid
temple on the proposed new site
~~now in question~~ and
whether all the devotees
of the temple have given
their consent to the ~~re~~
~~erection of the temple~~
~~thereof on the said site~~
& shifting of temple
for its Asset Value.
2. The enclosures are
herewith returned for
reference & resubmission.

By Order

Secretary

Rev: Secy's Docket No. 3502
d/ 8th Octr/95 with
1383
3 endrs & a file of
Rev: papers.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1895 374

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Government Revenue of Mysore

Dated 2nd November 1895.

Despatched

Received 7/11

Enclosures—No 3 & a file of corr-papers

General No. 485
No. 24

With reference to his docket No 525 dated 25th Ultimo states that the Temple in question is not under the management of the Inspn Department and consequently sanction of Govt was not obtained to build the same.

To Rev-dept No 6638 7/12/95.
the same No 665 30-95 & 23-12-95

W N 7-11-95

1895

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

To

The Muzrey Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Dated 2d November 1895

No $\frac{485}{24}$ 4m 3 and a file of cm. papers

His docket No 525 dated 25th Ultmo

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the Temple in question is not under the management of the Muzrey department and consequently sanction of Government was not obtained to build the same on the proposed new site.

2. The Sub Division officer did enquire how many of the devotees were in favor of the erection of the new Temple and the Amildar has reported that there were in all 114 devotees, of whom 94

× Vide Upde No 1201 of 14 3 93 to the Sub Division officer, Tirthahalli

agreed to the shifting of the Temple from its present position (vide Sagar Amildar's report No 478 dated 10th November 1893 in the records).

3. The enclosures of the above are therefore resubmitted excepting Revenue Secretary's docket No 3502/1383 dated 8th October 1895 which was not received with the docket under reference.

W Wm Lumsden
For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Urgent.

ನಂಬರ್ 5-96

ಕುಪ್ಪಳಿ ಮಂದಿರ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ್ದ ಹುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ

~~ಹುಜೂರು ಪಾಸ್ಕೋರಿಯಿಂದ~~ ಯು ಲಾ ಮೆಮುಂಗ್

ನರಸಿಂಗರಾಯ — ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಮಿನ್ದಾರ್.

ತಿರುಮಲಾಂ ತಿರುವಾಯ್ಮೊಳಿ ತಿರುಂದಾಯಿತ್ತಿ

ಅರುಂಗಲಿಪ್ಪಿರುಂತೇಳಲ್ಗ್ರಾಂಗಳುದನ್ನುಳ್ಳ ಶಂಖ

ಲಂಗಡೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನಿನತ್ತೈ ನಿರೀಲಂಗಳುಂನಂ79-5

ಯನಿಲಲು ತೆನಪಿಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಲಲುಲಯವಂಗಿ

ನಲ್ಲಲು ಲಲುಂಡೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನಿನ ನಂದಲಂಲಲುಳಿ

ತೆಪ್ಪುತಲತ್ತಿ ವಿಷಯದನ್ನುಳ್ಳ ಶಿವಜಿಂಗ್ಗಂಡಿ

ಳಲುಪಿರಂಲಲು ಅಟಕ್ಕಿಲಲವರಿಗಿನಂದು189.

ನಿಂಶಿಕ್ಕುಂಗಂದಿಯಿರಂಜಿ139 ನೆಲನಂಗಂದಿತ್ತಿ ಪೆಂಲ್ಲ

ಪೆಡಿವನ್ನುನ ಪಲುಂದಿಜಿಲಲುದ್ದಿಲಲಿ-ನಿವರಿ

79-5-ಉ ಯಲುಂಗ ವನಲು ಪಲುಲನಾಂ ತಿಲ್ಲಲಿಯಾ

ಯನಾಪಲ್ಲ ಅದ್ರವಾಂಪಲು ಪಿರಂಯಿಸ್ತ್ರಾಂಡೆಲ್ಲ

ಪ್ಪುಂಗಿಂಗಿಯದನ್ನುಳ್ಳ ದಾಂಪಾಳಿನಿಂಲ್ಲ ತಿಗಿ

ಪ್ಪೂಶ್ಕಾಂ.

ತಾಲೂಕು ಕೆಲಂಡ್ಲೆಕೆತಿಕಿಲಿಲು ತೆಕಿನಪಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಾಂಗಿಲ್ಲಿ

Muzarayi Superintendent.

Byorder

C. Venkatesiah

Clerk

[illegible]

Gen. 30]

439

1895

From

The Revenue Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Superintendent
in Mysore.

Dated 25th November 1895

Despatched 26

Received

General No. 4887

R *No.* 1894

Enclosure No.

This Office No. 3502
R 1383

dated 8th October 1895—

Enquiring whether it has been by the Muzrai Department been decided that the Shambulingeswara temple at Mankalale in the Saga Taluk should be shifted from its present position and rebuilt on Survey No: 45 in the same village

and subsequent reminder marginally noted.

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By order,

for Revenue Secretary.

Compd 85

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAL

From The Muz. Superintt in My sore

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 7th.

Despatched 9th December

Received

Enclosures No. 1 + 1 file V P

General No. 6631

Muzarai F. No.

His Docket No 3502 / R 1383 of the 8th October 1895 enquiring whether it has been settled by the Muzarai Department that the Shambhulingeswara temple at Mantalai in the Sagar Taluq should be shifted from its present position and rebuilt on

survey No 45 in the
same village

With reference
to the above, has the honor
to state that no permission
has yet been granted
from this Office to the shift-
ing of the temple referred
to. A few of the devotees
strongly object to its re-
moval from its present
position. The question
is therefore still under
investigation.

2. The enclosure
are herewith returned

Asst Supt

Read -

Docket No. 282-237 dated the 9th September 1895 from the Dy Commr. Shimoga Dt. re grant of 1-14 A-8 of lands out of Survey No. 45 in the Mankala village, Sagar Taluk, for the reconstruction of Shemben Lingaswara Temple &c

(2) Correspondence ending with docket No. 663 dated 7th December 1895 from the Manzai Superintendent Mysore on the same subject

---

Order thereon No. 5540-41 R. 2144 dt. 13/12

The temple in question

on the Survey

340-* / 2144 — 2nd / 13-12-95 / 16

Refer to my letter No. 282-237 of 9th Sep 95

I have the honour to forward herewith letter No. 663 of 7th Dec 95 from the Manzai Superintendent stating that the removal of the temple has not been finally decided upon, and to enquire whether under the circumstances the alternative recommended - viz to demolish that & rebuild foundations were allowed to be laid in anticipation of land at a cost of Rs 800 before the alienation was finally sanctioned.

Mad

as a Muzrai institution;
Some of its devotees are in
favour of its re-construction on
the lands now proposed to
be alienated while others
are opposed to it. The Sagar
Sub-Division Officer reports
that foundations have
been laid on the lands at
a cost of Rs 800-00.

(2) The Muzrai Supdt.
states that no permission
has yet been granted
by him to shift the temple
from its present site, and
that the matter is still
under investigation.

(3) Under these circumstances
Government observe

that the recommendation made by the Sub. Division Officer and supported by the Dy: Commissioner is premature and cannot, therefore be Sanctioned.

(4) The proposal may be renewed after the removal of the temple to the land in question has been decided upon by the Muzrai Dept.

12/12/95 Rev. Secretary

The Dy: Comm^r Shimoga
returning Vol: papers received
with his R.O. 282/287 dt 9-9-95.
The Muzrai Dept^t may please

undesirable

These matters should be fully enquired into & reported upon—

By order

Asst Secretary

Gen. 30 J

MUZRAI.

189

From

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

To

The Deputy commissioner Shimoga District

Dated 28th *Despatched* 3 December/95

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 665 f

Muzrai F. No. 30–95.

His Docket No. 485/24 dated 2nd November/95 reporting that as the Shambhulinga'- Swara temple at Mankalale' in the Sagar Taluq is not under Muzrai management, sanction of Government was not obtained to shift it from its present position and rebuild it on Survey No. 45 in the same—

96

Village

— a —

Referring to the above he has the honour to observe that the temple is endowed with a Malnad cash income of Rs 79-5-0 per annum from Government, and that therefore it may be considered as an institution under Government management

2 on reference to the Ver. papers it is also observed that a few of the devotees object to shift the temple from its present position on the ground that it was originally built in a proper place according to the Agamic rituals and that its removal to Survey No. 4 which is

1895-96

From The Deputy Commissioner
Trichinopoly District

To The Municipal Secretary to the
Government of Singapore

Dated 9th January 1896.

No. 7335/34 } 1895-96
Encl —

With Docket No. 665 dated 23rd
Ultimo Regarding the shifting of the
Samburlingeswara temple at
Nankalale in the Lagar Valai
from its present position and
rebuilding it on Survey No. 45
in the same village -

—

Has the honor to request
the Return of the Vernacular
Correspondence forwarded
with this Office Docket No. 685/24
dated 2nd November 1895 -

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

OFFICE OF THE 535 MILITARY BRANCH 1895 MAIN OF MYSORE

From The Deputy Commissioner Hudiabad

To Nanjaraj Urs
The Secretary to the Durbar of Mysore.

Dated 9th January 1896

Despatched

Received 15/1

Enclosures—No. —

General No. 735 & 1895-96
No. 34

With reference to his No 665 Dated 23rd Ultimo
Re. 7. 30-95
Requests the return of the Vernacular correspondence connected with the shifting of the Sambulingeswara temple at Nanjanagud Sagar Taluk.

J. W. Campbell
15 Jan

the same No 722 & 30-95 & 661-96

C n Serial No 30.]

1896

MUZARAL

From

To The Dy Commr
Shimoga Dist.

Dated 16th

Despatched January 1896

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 722
Musrai F. No. 30-95

His Docket No. 735 of the 34
9th Instant requesting
the return of the Vernacular
Correspondence connected
with the shifting of the
Sambekatige's area temple
at Mankalale in the
Sagar Taluk from its
present position and
rebuilding it on Survey
No. 45 in the same village

— u —

With reference to the
above has the honour to

state that the Vernacular
Correspondence has been
returned to the Revenue
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore as the same
was received in this
office with his Docket
No. 3502/1383 dated 8th
Octr. /95.

By Order

W. [illegible]
Secretary
6.7

Gen. Serial No. 34.]

1896

From The Deputy Comm.
Shimoga District

Remarks
The Secretary to Government
of Mysore.

Dated 21st
Despatched
Received } January

Enclosures—No 1

General No. 793
No. 555

Forwards copy of
Imperial Secretary's
Dl. No. 722 and requests
return 30-95 of the original
Canarese correspondence
regarding the shifting
of the Shanbhulingeswara temple at
Inamkalale, Sagar
Taluk.

Rec'd
M.O. Return
Rec'd 31/12/1896

1896

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimonoseki

To The Revenue Secretary
to the Government of Japan

Dated 21st
Recd. } January

No. 793 Encl. No. 1
5-5-5

In forwarding for
reference copy of the
Insp. in Secretary's Wired
No. 722 dated 16th Instant,
30-95
has the honor to request
return of the original
Canton correspondence
referred to therein, regarding
the shifting of the
lingeswara temple.

Mountdale in the Sagar
Club from its present
position and rebuilding
on Survey No. 45 in
the same village.

M Krishnamurthy
For Deputy Commr
Shimoga Dt

The Deputy Commr
Shimoga Distt

No. 6971 dated 31/1/96
R2706
Date. 31st January 1896
3 2

His No 793 dated 21st
555
January 1895, requesting
the return of the original
correspondence regarding
the proposed removal of the Shanbhu
Linga Eswara temple, ~~or~~ situated in the Sagar
Taluk, ~~from its present~~
~~position and rebuilding~~
~~it on Survey No. 45 in the~~
~~same village.~~ in Sur. no. 45 of

Has the honor to re-
turn herewith the Ver-
nacular papers referred
to above.

Byorede
31/1
Rev. Secy

31/1
1896

8-2-96

To await report
mised.

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

674

189 6

From The Deputy Commr
Shimoga

To Inspn
The Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 5th
Despatched } February
Received

Enclosures—No. 1

General No. 640
No. 41

With reference to
his Wt. No. 665 dated
Insp. 30-95
2nd December 1895
forwards for in:
- formation copy of
Sagar Sub Dr. offr
Wt. regarding Inamkala
Shanbulingeswara
temple in Sagar
Taluk

1896

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Imperial Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 4th February

No. 840/41 Rev: Dept I

His Docket No 665 dated
Enq 30-95
2½ December 1895 requesting
that certain matters re-
garding the shifting of
the Shanbhulingeswara
Temple from its present
position and rebuilding
it on Survey No 45, should
be fully enquired into and
reported upon

With reference to para 3 of the above, has the honor to forward for information copy of the Sagar Sub-Divisional Officer's Docket No 265 dated 25th Ultimo.

M Krishnaswamy
For Deputy Commr
Shimoga District

MKL

From

The Sub Division Officer

Sagar

To The Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga

Dated 25th January 1896

No. 265

His No. 1492 dated 11th Instant being a reminder to his No. 1394 dated 20th Ultimo regarding Inam land Shambulingeswara temple in Sagar Taluk.

In reply has the honour to state that the matter will be reported on after inspecting the place during the

Jamabandi of Sagar Taluk.

2. The accompanying papers referred to in his No. 1479 dated 9th Instant may be returned.

Signed / Raghunath Rao
Sub Division Officer
Sagar

Copy"

W Kirkwood
For Deputy Commr.
Shimoga

Gen. 31] 1896 6222 & 4287

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga district

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Dept.

Dated 13th February

Despatched

Received

General No. 877

No. 625

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to his letter No 5540 & 2144 of the 12th December 95 forwards copy of Sagar Sub Division Office No 265 of the 25th ultimo

Submitted for orders. Report promised in the Sub Division Officer's letter awaited.

D 2/2/96

Yes - Call for report

1/2/96

Rec'd 4/2

Rev Supt 17-2-96

Refs.

RD

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Dated 13th February 1896
Despatched

Ex. No 1.

General No 477
No 625

His letter No 5540 dated 12th December
R 2144
1895 stating that the removal of the Temple
has not been finally decided upon and enquiring
whether under the circumstances it is
necessary to sanction the alienation
recommended.

With reference to the above, has the honor
to forward for information copy of the Sagar
Sub Division officer's docket No 265 dated
25th Ultimo.

M Krishnaraya
for D

From The Sub-Division officer
Sagar

To The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Dated 25th January 1896

General No 265 } 1895-96.

His No 1492 dated 11th Instant being a reminder to his No 1394 dated 20th ult regarding Maukalale Shambhulingeshwara temple in Sagar Taluk.

In reply has the honor to state that the matter will be reported on after inspection the place during the Tenabandi of Sagar Taluk.

2. The vernacular papers referred to in his No 1479 dated 9th Instant may be returned.

Sd/ Raghunath Rao
Sub Division officer
Sagar.

"Copy"
Munshi

Gen. 31]

REVENUE DE...
1896. 9402
R. 1544
JUN 06
...VANS OFFIC...

From The Deputy Commr
Shimoga

To The Secretary to Govt
Genl & Rev: Depts

Dated 1st June
Despatched
Received

General No. 1265
No. 956

Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of this office No. 877/625 dated 1st February regarding the shifting of the temple Shanbhogueshwara at Anandalay states that it is unnecessary to sanction the alienation of dry land recommended by this office

Memo. Copy of letter already submitted with report of 13th Dec. 95 No. 5540 from Deputy Commr Shimoga

Submitted for orders.

Please see this office No 5540 of 13.12.95 / 2144

The foundations unauthorizedly laid out in our no. 45 at a cost of Rs. 800/ appear to remain as before

23/6/96

Subd to Secy

No alienation of assessed land is now required

It is to be regretted that so much correspondence had been carried on without due enquiry being made by the local authorities at the commencement.

24/6/96

Ls. 1265 4 m 1
956

Deputy Comrs. Office
Shimoga District
Shimoga 13th June 1889

To
The Secretary to Govt.
General and Rev: Depts.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No. 677/625 dated 13th February regarding the shifting of the temple of Shambulingeshwara in the Ivankalalay valley Sagar Taluk, I have the honor to forward copy of Sub Division Officer's letter No. 429 dated 1st Instant, and to state that under the circumstances now brought to light, it

is unnecessary to caution the alienation of dry land recommended by this Office. I have only to express my regret that the recommendation made by the Sub Division Officer without proper enquiry should have been forwarded to Govern. = ment.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

W. Kurshaw Esqr
For Deputy Comm.
Shimoga Dt.

Sagar Sub Division Office
Camp Ikkeri Dated 1st June/9

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Sir,

With reference to the marginal noted correspondence ending with your docket No 1661 of 5th February 1896 on the subject of the shifting of the temple of Shanbhogeshwara in the Mankatale village of the Sagar Taluk to a new site in Survey No 45 of the said village where a foundation has been laid by some of the devotees for erection of a new temp I have the honor to observe that in his letter No 665 of the 23rd

To Dy Comr No 419 26-7-95
From Do No 4209 13-8-95
To Do No 5792 20-8-95
Do No 5419 28-8-95
To Do No 7494 9-9-95
Fr: Do 13944 20-12-95
Fr: Do No 14799 9-1-96
Fr: Do No 14928 11-1-96
To Do No 26559 25-1-96
Fr: Do No 16689 5-2-96

December last to your address the Mysore Secretary has rightly held that the temple though not receiving money Tasdeek may be considered as an Institution under Government management inasmuch as it is endowed with Malnad Cash Inam of Rs. 79-5— per annum — From enquiries made, it would appear that up to this date, Pooja is being performed regularly to the deity in the old temple.

2. The manager of the temple was one Narrappa, the Patel of the village, to whom the Cash Inam has hitherto been disbursed. This Narrappa and another Seshayya were leaders who prompted some

to raise subscriptions and to build a new temple on the site above referred to. Narnappa who is one of these leaders is now dead. The foundation work that has been executed out of the subscription raised is estimated at 800 Rupees and that another sum of 9000 Rs is required to complete the building according to the plan designed by the deceased Narnappa and Seshappa. Owing to the differences among the devotees the progress of the work has been stopped since last two years.

3. No formal application for the erection of new Temple for the removal of the Linga from the present temple was ever made by any of the devotees either to you

of to this Office. In 1890 some of the people of the village requested the Amildar to permit them to remove the temple to the place selected by them. The Amildar negatived the request on the ground that the site was an assessed land. There are two parties among the devotees. One party first petitioned you on 15th March 1892 bringing to notice the endeavours made by the other party headed by Narsappa and Seshappa towards the erection of a new temple for shifting the Lingam thereto, and making a strong protest against the measure. In the course of enquiry into that petition the leader Narsappa and Seshappa admitted the fact and prayed for Government permission to their action. No permission has

hitherto been granted to them. The order dated 21st November 1892 by Mr. Nanjaud Rao, the late Sub Division Officer, runs thus: —

"Only a small minority want the temple to be removed to another place. All the other people are against it. The temple must remain where it is. Needful repairs must be done to the old temple."

4. It is true that the old temple in which the Pooja is now going on is in need of repairs. In my opinion it is preferable to have the old temple repaired instead of permitting the construction of a new temple which is deprecated by a number of its devotees. The deceased Dharma

Narnappa who died 10 months ago
is now succeeded by his son Ramappa
who in his statement before me on the
6th Ultimo has expressed that either
the old temple may be repaired or
the new one constructed. He urges
that the repair of the temple would
cost about 8 or 9 thousand Rupees
and that if Government would
support him, he would undertake
the repair with private subscriptions.

I think it advisable to
make known to the devotees and
the Manager that the Government
would not permit the removal
of the present temple to the new
place, and that it is left to them-
selves to restore the present temple,
as failure to keep the same in
proper condition would result
in the resumption of the Cash

according to the terms of the Inam Title Deed. This obviates the necessity of the alienation of the portion of Survey No 45 discussed in the correspondence.

6. The Vernacular papers to which the records of my enquiry are added are herewith submitted

I have &c.
sd/ K. Ramachandra Row
Sub Division Officer
Sagar.

"Copy"

M Venkatappa
For Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Gen 31]

1896 894

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga Dt.

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 12th
Despatched
Received 15/6 June

General No. 1268
No. 54

Enclosure No. 2

With reference to his Dl. No. 665 dated 23rd Nov. 30-95 December 1895 submits for his information copy of this office No. 1265 dated this day to Secretary General and Rev: Depttos

Copy of this office No. 956 dated 15th June 1896 to Secretary General and Rev: Depttos

1896

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimonoseki District

To

The Imperial Secretary
to the Govt. of Nippon

Dated 13th
Decr.

June

No. 1268/54 Imp. Govt. No. 2

His Docket No. 665 dated
Imp. No. 30-95
23rd. December 1895.

Has the honor to refer
for his information copy
of this Office No. 1265/956 dated
this day to Secretary Finance
and Revenue Department
with its enclosure

Deputy Commr's Office
Shimoga district
13th June 1896

To

The Secretary to Govt.
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office No. 877/625 dated 13th February regarding the shifting of the temple of Shanbulingeshwara in the Uyankalodayi village Soraba Taluk, I have the honor to forward copy of Sub Division Officer's letter No. 429 dated 12th Instan and to state that under the circumstances now brought to light, it is nec= =necessary to sanction

the alienation of dry land recommended by this office. I have only to express my regret that the recommendation made by the Sub Division Officer without proper enquiry should have been forwarded to Government.

I have &c.

Signed/ A. Ramaswamy Ayyar
Deputy Commissioner

Copy"

M Krishnaswamy
For Deputy Commissioner
Chingleput district

Sagar Sub Division Office
Camp Ulur Dated 1st June 1896

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Sir,

With reference to the marginally noted correspondence ending with your docket No. 1661 of 5th February 1896 on the subject of the shifting of the temple of Shambhulingeshwar in the Mankalale village of the Sagar Taluk to a new site in Survey No. 45 of the said village where a foundation has been laid by some of the devotees for erection of a new temple, I have the honor to observe that in his letter No. 665/30-95 of the 23rd December last to our address, the Inspector General held that the temple

- 1 Dy Comr. No. 41 of 26.1-95
- 2 Do. No. 420 of 13-8-95
- 3 Do. No. 570 of 20-8-95
- 4 Do. No. 541 of 28-8-95
- 5 Do. No. 74 of 4-9-95
- 6 Do. No. 1394 of 20.12-95
- 7 Do. No. 1479 of 9-1-96
- 8 Do. No. 1492 of 11-1-96
- 9 Do. No. 265 of 25-1-96
- 10 Do. No. 1661 of 5-2-96

though not receiving money Dasdeck, may be considered as an institution under Government management inasmuch as it is endowed with Malnad Cash Inam of Rs 79-5- per annum. From enquiries made, it would appear that up to this date, Pooja is being performed regularly to the deity in the old Temple.

2. The manager of the temple was one Narnappa, the Patel of the village, to whom the Cash Inam has hitherto been disbursed. This Narnappa and another Seshaiya were leaders who prompted some of the devotees to raise subscriptions, and to build a new temple on the site above referred to. Narnappa who is one of the leaders is now dead. The foundation work that has been executed out

of the subscription raised is estimated at 800 Rupees and that another sum of 9000 Rupees is required to complete the building according to the plan designed by the deceased Narrappa and Seshappa - Owing to the differences among the devotees, the progress of the work has been stopped since last two years -

3. I application for the
ere a Temple for the re-
moval of the Linyam from the present Temple was ever made by any of the devotees either to you or to this Office - In 1890 some of the people of the village requested the Amildar to permit them to remove the Temple to the place selected by them - The Amildar negatived the request on the ground that the

ide was an assessed land. There
are two parties among the devotees.
One party first petitioned you on 15th
March 1892 bringing to notice the
endeavours made by the other
party headed by Narnappa and
Seshappa towards the erection
of a new temple for shifting the
Lingam thereto, and making a
strong protest against the measure.
In the course of enquiry into that
petition, the leader Narnappa and
Seshappa admitted the fact and
prayed for Government permission
to their action. No permission
has hitherto been granted to them.
The order dated 21st November 1892
by Mr. Nanjacud Row, the late
Sub Division Officer, runs thus:—
"Only a small minority want the
temple to be removed to another

place. All the other people are against it. The temple must remain where it is. Needful repairs must be done to the old temple."

4. It is true that the old temple in which the Pooja is now going on is in need of repairs. In my opinion it is preferable to have the old temple repaired, instead of permitting the construction of a new temple which is deprecated by a number of its devotees. The deceased manager Narrappa who died 10 months ago is now succeeded by his son Ramappa who in his statement before me on the 30th Ultimo has expressed that either the old temple may be repaired or the new one constructed. He urges that the repair of the temple

ould cost about 8 or 9 thousand Rupees
and that if Government would support
him he would undertake the repair
with private subscriptions.

I think it advisable to make
known to the devotees and the Manager
that the Government would not per-
mit the removal of the present temple
to the new place, and that it is left
to themselves to restore the present
temple, as failure to keep the same
in proper condition, would result
in the resumption of the Cash
Inam according to the terms of
the Inam Title deed. This obviates
the necessity of the alienation of
the portion of Survey No 45 dis-
cussed in the correspondence.

6. The Vernacular papers to which

the

the records of my enquiry are added
are herewith submitted.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

Sd/- K. Ramachandra Rao

Sub Division Officer

Sagar

Copy/-

Sd/- illegible

For Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

17/2/64

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

219

1896

MUZARAI.

From The Secretary
Rev + Genl depts

To The Inspector General

Dated 29th August 1896

Despatched

Received 3/9

Enclosures No.

General No. 2333-4
~~*Muzarai P. No.*~~ R 859

Transferring the file regarding the shifting of the temple of Shambhulingeswara at Inamkalal'.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read -

Letter No. 1265/956 dated 13th June 1896, from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District, stating with reference to the observations made in this office No. 554/R214 dated 13th December 1895 that it is unnecessary to Sanction as was previously recommended by him, the alienation of 1 acre and 14 guntas of dry land out of Survey No. 45 in the Mankatal village, Sagar Taluk, for erecting the temple of Shambhulingéswara, and expressing his regret that the Sub Division officer's recommendation made without a proper enquiry was submitted to Government.

Order thereon No. 2333-34/R859

Dated Bangalore, 29th August 1896

Recorded.

2 The file will be transferred to the Muzrai Department, for the purpose of taking notice of the condition of the Temple referred to above

[illegible]
Secretary

e Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District.

Muzrai Department with the file as p

no other services
thereon properly
ordered.

By order
A
Musp: Secy

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1896.

From

To The Dr. Commr.
Shimoga Distt.

Dated 7 Sept.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1/

General No. 374
Muzarai F. No. 30-95

Proceedings of Govt. in
the Rev: and Genl. Departt.
No. 2333-34 / 1859 dated 29th
August 1896, transferring
for disposal in this
Departt, the Deputy
Commissioners' letter No.
1265 / 956 dated 13th June 1896
regarding the Shifting
of the temple of Sri
Sambhulingeswara

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—26-9-93-1,000.

Swamii at [redacted] Manikalam
in the Sagar Taluk.

Has the honor to state
that under the cir-
-cumstances now report
by the Dy Commr. in his
letter referred to in the above
no further orders of Govt.
are called for in the matter
of the rebuilding of the
temple on a new site,
and the question may
therefore be dropped.

2. The restoration of the
temple is a matter which
should be left entirely
to the devotees, & the Dy
Commr. will therefore
inform the Manager of the
inst.n that the Malnad
cash inam of Rs 79-5-0 per
annum attached to the
temple will be resumed
if he fails to maintain
the inst.n in a state
of [redacted] food